

Project Number: EBB 170019_18en

Purpose: Assessment of resistance under fire exposure of the
TILCA® TIM V+ Injection system

Client: EFCO Fixing Technology Ltd
Grabenstrasse 1
8605 Nänikon · Schweiz

Contact: www.uni-kl.de/ebb/
Catherina Thiele
Tel: +49 631 205 3833

Date: 12.6.2018



Dr.-Ing. Catherina Thiele

Table of contents

| | |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| 1. General | 3 |
| 2. References | 3 |
| 3. Product Description | 3 |
| 4. Evaluation Scope | 3 |
| 5. Fire Resistances | 4 |

1. General

The Technische Universität Kaiserslautern had been authorized by EFCO Fixing Technology Ltd to evaluate the fire resistance of the TILCA® TIM V+ Injection system. This report is based on the test reports of MPA Braunschweig [3]. The fire tests and their evaluation were executed according to DIN EN 1363-1:2012 [2] and [1].

The fire resistances (listed in Table 1) are based on the test results of a one-sided fire exposure of a non-cracked concrete slab. The evaluation in this report is based on TR 020 [1].

2. References

- [1] Evaluation of Anchorages in Concrete Concerning Resistance to fire, EOTA TR 020, Edition May 2004
- [2] Feuerwiderstandsprüfungen – Teil 1: Allgemeine Anforderungen, DIN EN 1363-1; Edition Oktober 2012
- [3] Test Report (3290/0966)-NB dd. 06/03/2008 ; iBMB Braunschweig; hinterlegt an der TU Kaiserslautern.
- [4] ETA-09/0148 from 4 July 2014, TILCA® TIM V+ Injection system for concrete, EFCO Fixing Technology Ltd.

3. Product Description

The Product is described in [4].

4. Evaluation Scope

The fire resistance evaluation of the TILCA® TIM V+ Injection system is based on the executed fire tests. The anchors were installed upside down to simulate the real situation of a ceiling and stressed by the uniform temperature curve fire test (UTC) according to [2]. In all tests, a fixture was used based on TR020 [1], therefore the following fire resistance evaluation applies only for anchors which are protected (in a comparable manner to the used fixture in the fire test) against the temperature increase during a fire case.

The fire tests were executed on a non-cracked concrete slab.

The evaluation was executed depending on TR020 [1].

Nut failures, fracture of the anchor rod and pull-out failures occurred in the tests.

5. Fire Resistances

The following tables show the decisive fire resistances $N_{Rk,fi}$ of a one side fire exposure in non-cracked concrete with tensile loading (minimum strength class C20/25). The given fire resistances $N_{Rk,fi}$ apply for a single anchor under tensile load with an edge distance greater than $c_{cr} = 2 h_{ef}$ and a spacing of at least $s = 2 c_{cr} = 4 h_{ef}$ to the adjacent anchor. By keeping the mentioned edge distances and spacing, a concrete cone failure is not relevant. The given values apply for anchor rods with a strength class of at least 5.8 (EN 1993-1-8:2005+AC:2009). The same fire resistances can be assumed for threaded rods of stainless steel (A4) and high corrosion resistant steel (HCR) with a strength class of 70 (EN ISO 3506-1:2009).

If the edge distance c is chosen in a way, that steel failure / pull-out is determined in the fire design, the following load values can be also applied on anchors under shear load.

Table 1: Fire resistance $N_{Rk,fi}$ of TILCA® TIM V+ Injection system in non-cracked concrete slab

| Fire resistance $N_{Rk,fi}$ in [kN] | Anchor Sizes | M8 | M10 | M12 | M16 | M20 | M24 | M27 | M30 |
|---|--|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | Minimum embedment depth $h_{ef,min}$ [mm] | ≥ 80 | ≥ 90 | ≥ 110 | ≥ 125 | ≥ 170 | ≥ 210 | ≥ 250 | ≥ 280 |
| Fire resistance duration t_u [min] | 30 | 1,6 | 2,6 | 3,4 | 6,2 | 9,8 | 14,0 | 18,3 | 22,3 |
| | 60 | 1,1 | 1,8 | 2,6 | 4,8 | 7,5 | 10,8 | 14,1 | 17,2 |
| | 90 | 0,6 | 0,9 | 1,8 | 3,4 | 5,3 | 7,6 | 9,9 | 12,1 |
| | 120 | 0,3 | 0,5 | 1,4 | 2,7 | 4,2 | 6,0 | 7,9 | 9,6 |